

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nayolo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/940,181 | 08/27/2001 | Paul G. Allen | 4000.2.70 | 3509 |
| 32641 DIGEO INC | 7590 03/18/200 C/O STOEL RIVES LL | | EXAM | IINER |
| 201 SOUTH M | IAIN STREET, SUITE | | SHEPARD, JUSTIN E | |
| ONE UTAH C | CITY, UT 84111 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2424 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/18/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 09/940.181 | ALLEN ET AL. | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Justin E. Shepard | 2424 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | | rith the correspondence ac | dress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Of (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate coperiod for reply (including a total extension of time (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it do | of Mailing or Transmission date of month(s)) which exp | d), which is after the ired on | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 | iled Notice of Appeal (with app | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | | ly, to the non- |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTO) | | le, within the statutory period | d of three months |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, value is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bala | nce of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if require | ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_ | · |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has | s not been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as r Allowability (PTO-37). | equired by, and within the three | e-month period set in, the No | otice of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailin | g or Transmission dated |), which is |
| (b) \(\sum \) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. | the attorney or agent of record | I, the assignee of the entire | interest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | an attorney or agent (acting in | a representative capacity u | nder 37 CFR |

/Chris Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424

of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review

7. The reason(s) below: